

PUBLIC COMMENTS RELATED TO ECONOMIC EFFECTS

First Name	Last Name	Document Number	Comment ID	Paraphrased Comment	Response
Daniel	Albert	1	DA-1B	Our property values are being depleted without just compensation.	Economic impacts were not evaluated as part of this study.
Terrill	Bouricius	9	TB-1B	The noise disturbs health testing and work conditions. We will need to move operations to another town which will put substantial financial burden on my company.	Health effects were not evaluated as part of this study. Please refer to the USAF F-35A Operational Basing EIS (https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/799815-f-35-final-eis-volume-1.html) for available information on health effects.
Jennifer	Decker	19	JD-1B	Will the City of Burlington demand full noise remediation prior to F-35's being allowed to continue to operate at Burlington International Airport? If not, what is the plan to compensate victims during the years, or even decades, prior to full remediation? Where can victims of damaging noise go for benefits? What will be the cost of compensating victims?	F-35 operations will occur prior to full remediation, If a recommended measure, such as land acquisition, sound insulation, sales assistance or purchase assurance is approved and implemented, a program will be developed. Eligibility and participation requirements will be available to homeowners after the establishment of a program. Program representatives will contact all potentially eligible homeowners.
Patricia	Everts	20	PE-1B	I am also concerned about my property value going down because of the F-35s.	Economic impacts were not evaluated as part of this study.
Jean	Hopkins	31	JHO-1C	Money for F-35s could have gone to building homes instead of weapons.	Thank you for your comment.
Annie	Jordan	36	AJ-1A	Low income citizens will be the ones most negatively impacted by these jets.	Economic impacts were not evaluated as part of this study.
Melinda	Lee	49	ML-1B	I am also concerned about the effect on property values and quality of life. I already know one couple who moved due to the F-35s and their noise & nuclear capability.	Economic impacts were not evaluated as part of this study.

PUBLIC COMMENTS RELATED TO ECONOMIC EFFECTS

First Name	Last Name	Document Number	Comment ID	Paraphrased Comment	Response
N/A	N/A	82	CC-4B	These plans continue to prey on the poor.	Economic impacts were not evaluated as part of this study.

PUBLIC COMMENTS RELATED TO F-35A OPERATIONS

First Name	Last Name	Appendix Document Number	Comment ID	Paraphrased Comment	Response
Zack	Flaherty	22	ZF-1	Do municipalities have to pay 10% to match grants?	The Airport, owned by the City of Burlington is required to provide 10% of each FAA's Airport Improvement Program grant. Other municipalities are not required to provide funding.
Robin	Lloyd	51	RL-1	To resolve the question about the impact of F35 noise upon takeoff and landing, I request that the airport immediately apply for FAA noise monitoring and tracking grants to measure F-35 noise on airport grounds and throughout the residential areas identified by the Air Force and the Noise Compatibility Program.	The use of an operations and flight tracking system is included as a recommended measure of the NCP. Additionally, the Airport has committed with the Vermont National Guard to conduct a joint NEM update one year after the operation of the F-35 (estimated 2021).
Tony	Redington	65	TR-1	I strongly request that the airport immediately apply for FAA noise monitoring and tracking grants to measure F-35 noise on airport grounds and throughout the residential areas identified by the Air Force and the Noise Compatibility Program.	The use of an operations and flight tracking system is included as a recommended measure of the NCP. Additionally, the Airport has committed with the Vermont National Guard to conduct a joint NEM update one year after the operation of the F-35 (estimated 2021).

PUBLIC COMMENTS RELATED TO HEALTH CONCERNS

First Name	Last Name	Appendix Document Number	Comment ID	Paraphrased Comment	Response
Daniel	Albert	1	DA-1C	As borne out by peer-reviewed research, the noise level of the F-35s is dangerous to children's hearing and brain development.	Health effects were not evaluated as part of this study. Please refer to the USAF F-35A Operational Basing EIS (https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/799815-f-35-final-eis-volume-1.html) for available information on health effects.
Daniel	Albert	1	DA-1D	An F-35 accident is too abhorrent to contemplate, yet it must be in the interest of the health & safety in our community. Please count me and my wife as strongly opposed to the F-35 basing in Burlington.	Health and safety effects were not evaluated as part of this study. Please refer to the USAF F-35A Operational Basing EIS (https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/799815-f-35-final-eis-volume-1.html) for available information on health and safety effects.
Jennifer	Decker	19	JD-1D	How can parents and guardians of children in local daycares, schools and homes be taught to look for signs of hearing loss and other developmental impacts in children who are preverbal? What are the results of pre-testing for vulnerable populations on these health measures: stress-related disease, hearing, learning, development, and mental health measures. Which valid measures have been used for pre-testing scores? Where the results of those studies that will help determine levels of harm among residents?	Health effects were not evaluated as part of this study. Please refer to the USAF F-35A Operational Basing EIS (https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/799815-f-35-final-eis-volume-1.html) for available information on health effects.

PUBLIC COMMENTS RELATED TO HEALTH CONCERNS

First Name	Last Name	Appendix Document Number	Comment ID	Paraphrased Comment	Response
Patricia	Everts	20	PE-1A	I am concerned about health issues from the F-35s.	Health effects were not evaluated as part of this study. Please refer to the USAF F-35A Operational Basing EIS (https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/799815-f-35-final-eis-volume-1.html) for available information on health effects.
Mary	Fillmore	21	MF-1C	How can we expect to keep windows closed year round? How will we protect students, adults, and airport visitors & employees from the F-35s when outdoors?	There is currently no mitigation measures planned which would affect outdoor noise levels.
Ann	Goering	26	AG-1A	Noise is not a nuisance. It is a health issue. As a family physician working and living in the fight path I am aware of the impact on my health as well as others. I was on my porch when the F-35s flew over and my ears rang & hurt for two hours.	Health effects were not evaluated as part of this study. Please refer to the USAF F-35A Operational Basing EIS (https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/799815-f-35-final-eis-volume-1.html) for available information on health effects.
Anya	Hunter	32	AH-1	The experience of the plane was terrifying. How will this impact children, teens, the elderly, refugees, veterans, anyone with anxiety or depression?	Health effects were not evaluated as part of this study. Please refer to the USAF F-35A Operational Basing EIS (https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/799815-f-35-final-eis-volume-1.html) for available information on health effects.

PUBLIC COMMENTS RELATED TO HEALTH CONCERNS

First Name	Last Name	Appendix Document Number	Comment ID	Paraphrased Comment	Response
Genevieve	Jacobs	33	GJ-1	I've lived in Burlington the past 30 years and moving away is not an option I would willingly consider. However, I have a heart condition triggered by stressors, and the F-35s may compromise my ability to live and work. I urge you to reconsider the negative impacts of basing these planes here.	Health effects were not evaluated as part of this study. Please refer to the USAF F-35A Operational Basing EIS (https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/799815-f-35-final-eis-volume-1.html) for available information on health effects.
Annie	Jordan	36	AJ-1B	I am apprehensive that exposure to F-35 low frequency high decibel noise is life threatening hazard for many people with various health concerns in the area. I urge you to take immediate preventative action.	Health effects were not evaluated as part of this study. Please refer to the USAF F-35A Operational Basing EIS (https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/799815-f-35-final-eis-volume-1.html) for available information on health effects.
James	Leas	42	JL-2A	How will the modification of the NCP protect children playing outside, residents gardening or walking dogs, or residents who are inside when there is hot weather & their windows are open? If these people cannot be protected, should the airport apply for a FAA grant to purchase all 2,600 homes that will be damaged by the F35s?	There is currently no mitigation measures planned which would affect outdoor noise levels.
James	Leas	45	JL-5	The NCP failed to mention learning impairment of children. What is the City of Burlington & BTV's response to the World Health Organization's report "Burden of disease from environmental noise"? Will the NCP be modified to add/address these considerations?	Health effects were not evaluated as part of this study. Please refer to the USAF F-35A Operational Basing EIS (https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/799815-f-35-final-eis-volume-1.html) for available information on health effects.

PUBLIC COMMENTS RELATED TO HEALTH CONCERNS

First Name	Last Name	Appendix Document Number	Comment ID	Paraphrased Comment	Response
James	Leas	46	JL-6	The NCP failed to mention learning impairment of children. What is the City of Burlington & BTV's response to the United State Air Forces' F-35 Environmental Impact Statement? Will the NCP be modified to add/address these considerations?	Health effects were not evaluated as part of this study. Please refer to the USAF F-35A Operational Basing EIS (https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/799815-f-35-final-eis-volume-1.html) for available information on health effects.
James	Leas	47	JL-7	The 2019 draft NCP does not mention hearing loss. What measures will the City take to prevent hearing loss? Will the City continue to put forth an NCP that omits mention of the hearing loss problem and simply allow the hearing of Vermont children and adults to be injured?	Health effects were not evaluated as part of this study. Please refer to the USAF F-35A Operational Basing EIS (https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/799815-f-35-final-eis-volume-1.html) for available information on health effects.
James	Leas	48	JL-8	In view of the Veterans Administration's facts on hearing loss, what will the City of Burlington do to protect airport passengers and nearby residents from the hearing loss they can get from the repeated exposure to F-35 jet noise?	Health effects were not evaluated as part of this study. Please refer to the USAF F-35A Operational Basing EIS (https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/799815-f-35-final-eis-volume-1.html) for available information on health effects.
Melinda	Lee	49	ML-1A	The F-35s are very disturbing. It is difficult to hear; I am wondering if I will have to purchase soundproofing ear phones in order to walk safely. I am concerned about the effect on children's hearing; I fear for the health of the children who go to Chamberlin School.	Health effects were not evaluated as part of this study. Please refer to the USAF F-35A Operational Basing EIS (https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/799815-f-35-final-eis-volume-1.html) for available information on health effects.

PUBLIC COMMENTS RELATED TO HEALTH CONCERNS

First Name	Last Name	Appendix Document Number	Comment ID	Paraphrased Comment	Response
Joanna	Rankin	63	JR-2D	Exposure to intense sound energy is dangerous to health and civil society. Any number of references attests to this. The theoretical noise models behind the NEMs are flawed and inadequate. A single exposure to F-35 can cause permanent damage.	Health effects were not evaluated as part of this study. Please refer to the USAF F-35A Operational Basing EIS (https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/799815-f-35-final-eis-volume-1.html) for available information on health effects.
Wiley	Reading	64	WR-1A	The planes are incredibly loud. I have hearing loss and am concerned that they will make it worse.	Thank you for your comment.
Nancy	Rice	67	NR-1B	What are the noise effects on the children at Chamberlin School, the people of Winooski and S. Burlington, as well as passengers at the airport? Especially once all the F-35s are based there?	Health effects were not evaluated as part of this study. Please refer to the USAF F-35A Operational Basing EIS (https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/799815-f-35-final-eis-volume-1.html) for available information on health effects.
N/A	N/A	82	CC-4A	The noise is poisoning children. We cannot measure the exposure or reverse it. Think of lead exposure. With sound mitigation our children will need to be locked inside - the antithesis to a healthy childhood.	Health effects were not evaluated as part of this study. Please refer to the USAF F-35A Operational Basing EIS (https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/799815-f-35-final-eis-volume-1.html) for available information on health effects.

PUBLIC COMMENTS RELATED TO HEALTH CONCERNS

First Name	Last Name	Appendix Document Number	Comment ID	Paraphrased Comment	Response
Judy	Galloway	Transcript	TR-1	I am concerned about children and hearing loss. How can I protect my hearing and that of my children and family?	Health effects were not evaluated as part of this study. Please refer to the USAF F-35A Operational Basing EIS (https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/799815-f-35-final-eis-volume-1.html) for available information on health effects.

PUBLIC COMMENTS RELATED TO LAND USE

First Name	Last Name	Appendix Document Number	Comment ID	Paraphrased Comment	Response
Jennifer	Decker	19	JD-1A	Does the City of Burlington think that the Federal Aviation Administration is wrong in stating that homes in the noise impact zone are now unfit for human habitation? If so, please share sources. If not, please detail the plan for demolishing homes, schools and businesses and helping those relocate to similar, suitable habitations. What is the timeline for such plans?	The FAA has determined residences, schools, churches, auditoriums; concert halls, hospitals, and nursing homes located within the 65 dB DNL and 70 dB DNL are considered compatible with adequate interior-to-exterior noise-level reduction (NLR). Accordingly, these structures that do not have the adequate NLR are eligible for sound insulation. Businesses are considered compatible and are not eligible for noise mitigation measures. The schedule for the implementation of recommended measures within the 65 dB DNL contour has not been established to date.
Janet	Kahn	37	JK-1B	How was it concluded that homes are sufficiently soundproofed when it only works if people never open their windows or go outside? How is this still considered affordable housing when we must assume reasonable people would not buy a home that renders their children captive? Can you show us real estate assessments based on experience rather than computer modeling that show home value being comparable to pre-noise endangerment levels?	Economic impacts were not evaluated as part of this study.

PUBLIC COMMENTS RELATED TO LAND USE

First Name	Last Name	Appendix Document Number	Comment ID	Paraphrased Comment	Response
James	Leas	42	JL-2B	What is the danger to the public and the cost of each of the following alternatives to the proposed NCP modification: 1. Building a new runway away from where people live 2. Using an existing runway in a less populated area 3. Canceling the basing of the F-35s in Burlington	The purpose of this update was to assess noise mitigation measures in addition to land acquisition. This study was not intended to re-assess the basing of the F-35A at the airport.
Judy	Galloway	Transcript	TR-6	News reports suggest the value of our homes will fall 17%. Isn't this unconstitutional take of property by the government without compensation? If property values fall doesn't that mean the property assessments and tax revenues will fall? Will the Air Force make those cities whole?	Economic impacts were not evaluated as part of this study.

PUBLIC COMMENTS RELATED TO PUBLIC HEARING

First Name	Last Name	Appendix Document Number	Comment ID	Paraphrased Comment	Response
N/A	N/A	79	CC-1	Thank you for this info session. Thanks for not tearing down anymore homes.	Thank you for your comment.
N/A	N/A	83	CC-6	Too bad that none of the airport officials came to the part of the meeting that actually had questions for you. Not a democratic meeting at all.	Airport officials were present at the Public Hearing.

PUBLIC COMMENTS RELATED TO NOISE MODELING

First Name	Last Name	Appendix Document Number	Comment ID	Paraphrased Comment	Response
Ron	Bomer	8	RB-1	The new jets have a completely different sound profile with multiple frequencies with a physical rumbling sound wave. Their pathways should be pushed out further. A published training schedule would help us be prepared.	Thank you for your comment. The approach and departure pathways flown by the F-35 are the same as those flown by the F-16 aircraft. The VTANG typically operates on a regular predictable schedule consisting of...
Kristie	Carr	10	KC-1	I live in Colchester on Renkin Dr. I don't see that area as being in the noise map. However, I am in the direct flight path of the airport and the jets and planes fly directly over my house. Why is that area not on the map?	The FAA does not provide funds for noise mitigation outside the 65 dB DNL contour. The NEM only shows the 65 DNL contour and those areas directly adjacent to it.
Mary	Fillmore	21	MF-1A	The entire process is flawed because it is focused on average noise levels rather than intensive incidents, such as the F-35 takeoffs and landings. How will the airport reduce noise averages without restricting the commercial flights which have made the airport to be so successful?	The Day-Night Average Sound Level (DNL) metric is used for the BTV NEM per Part 150 regulations. Maximum sound levels have not been determined as part of this study. There are no plans to address noise through a reduction in commercial flight operations.
Ann	Goering	26	AG-1B	We need studies that show the true impact of noise. Actual decibels, not averaged decibels. I am asking for the current plan to be considered flawed and not accepted.	The Day-Night Average Sound Level (DNL) metric is used for the BTV NEM per Part 150 regulations. Maximum sound levels have not been determined as part of this study.
Lois	Price	60	LP-1	Using a day/night average to measure F-35 sound levels is misleading. The sound level when the planes are operating is what should be measured, as this is what can cause damage to people's hearing.	The Day-Night Average Sound Level (DNL) metric is used for the BTV NEM per Part 150 regulations. Maximum sound levels have not been determined as part of this study. Please refer to the USAF F-35A Operational Basing EIS (https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/799815-f-35-final-eis-volume-1.html) for available information on health effects.
Stefani	Us	75	SU-2	Block 3F software	Thank you for your comment.

PUBLIC COMMENTS RELATED TO NOISE MODELING

First Name	Last Name	Appendix Document Number	Comment ID	Paraphrased Comment	Response
Gregory	Epler Wood	76	GW-1	Part 150 of the Federal Aviation Regulations uses outdated, outmoded and harmful metrics. Another analysis should be done that takes into account low frequency & infrasound, how sounds are perceived by humans of all ages, and what effects those sounds have.	Thank you for your comment.
Terry	Zigmund	78	TZ-1A	I do not believe that the airport has done a thorough study to determine the impacts of the F-35 on the neighboring communities. The document clearly states that the study is based on noise from the F-16. While I understand that computer models were used, I do not feel that this is sufficient.	This is incorrect. The NEM study utilizes distinct F-16 and F-35A noise data for modeling operation from each of those aircraft types.

PUBLIC COMMENTS RELATED TO NEM DOCUMENTATION

First Name	Last Name	Appendix Document Number	Comment ID	Paraphrased Comment	Response
James	Leas	43	JL-3	The NCP fails to recount reasons that individual measures were accepted and rejected, such as noise monitoring, flight track monitoring, and real estate disclosure. Please revise the 2019 NCP to provide the missing information and explanations in full.	The purpose of this update was to assess noise mitigation measures in addition to land acquisition. This study was not intended to re-assess the basing of the F-35A at the airport.
James	Leas	44	JL-4	The 2019 draft NCP is fundamentally flawed and should be rejected by the FAA.	Thank you for your comment.
Karl	Novak	58	KN-1B	The 2019 Draft NCP does not address the daily airport passengers. The NCP should address F-35 noise on the airport grounds.	Flight operations data is included in the 2015 and 2020 Noise Exposure Maps report. A copy can be viewed at https://www.btv.aero/documents/BTV_FINAL_NEM_Report_20151221_web.pdf
Karl	Novak	58	KN-1C	The NCP should include a request for a more compatible location for the F-35s.	Thank you for your comment.
Joanna	Rankin	63	JR-2B	The draft NCP is shocking in its discussion of at-most partially effective and painfully late-coming measures. The programs would only be band aids. Residences can be insulated, but this does nothing for being outside. What of children, BTV passengers, bicycle traffic?	There is currently no mitigation measures planned which would affect outdoor noise levels.
Joanna	Rankin	63	JR-2E	I strongly object to the current deadline for submitting comments on the current NCP. We know the F-35s are terrible but we have not yet experienced how terrible. Only a small part of the fleet has arrived, and they have not used afterburners.	Thank you for your comment.

PUBLIC COMMENTS RELATED TO GENERAL NOISE ISSUES

First Name	Last Name	Appendix Document Number	Comment ID	Paraphrased Comment	Response
Terrill	Bouricius	9	TB-1A	Average day and night levels mask the true problem. The issue is peak noise, which will obviously impact people far beyond the 65 decibel area on the map.	The Day-Night Average Sound Level (DNL) metric is used for the BTV NEM as per Part 150 regulations. US Congress provided legislation to FAA that all airports need to be represented in the same way to access funding.
Jim	Carrier	11	JC-1	I would like to ask all planes, but especially the F35s, to follow the IFR takeoff and landing flight path, straight in, and out, which is roughly over the Winooski River. Even in VFR conditions. This would vastly reduce the noise, and complaints.	Thank you for your comment.
Maureen	Labenski	39	ML-1	I live in downtown Burlington and have not experienced any untoward affects from the new jets. When I'm inside my house I hear them, but the sound is not objectionable. When I'm walking on the waterfront or the downtown shopping area the noise from the jets is loud, but not problematic. It is of short duration and I recognize the sound as part of what comes with maintaining a capable protective force.	Thank you for your comment.
Andrea	Nicoletta	57	AN-1	The F-35s are much louder than the F-16s. The walls shook and the sound penetrated my home even with all windows and doors closed. These machines should not be in populated areas.	Thank you for your comment.
Daniel	Shearer	70	DS-1	You cannot ignore the F-35 noise. It is unnerving and unsettling and I want it to stop.	Thank you for your comment.
N/A	N/A	84	E-1	I think the F-35 noise is non-existent and the people that are whining about it are anti-military.	Thank you for your comment.

PUBLIC COMMENTS RELATED TO NOISE MITIGATION

First Name	Last Name	Appendix Document Number	Comment ID	Paraphrased Comment	Response
Daniel	Albert	1	DA-1A	We are outside the noise contour but the F-35 noise directly compromises our quiet enjoyment of our property.	The FAA does not provide funds for noise mitigation outside the 65 dB DNL contour.
Callie	Chambers	14	CCH-1	None of the programs address the effect of planes on outdoor life. How about a subsidy for noise cancelling headphones?	The distribution of noise cancelling headphones is not an FAA approved noise mitigation measure.
Tina	Daly	18	TD-1	Who would you contact for information regarding property buyout?	If a recommended measure, such as land acquisition, sound insulation, sales assistance or purchase assurance is approved and implemented, a program will be developed which will include homeowner outreach. Program representatives will contact all potentially eligible homeowners.
Mary	Fillmore	21	MF-1D	Purchasing, refurbishing, and selling homes in the 65-75 DNL range is calculated to create an underclass in our community. No one pretends that "soundproofing" will be effective. The NCP will offer too little too late.	Economic impacts were not evaluated as part of this study.
Roger	Forcier	23	RF-1	I am writing on behalf of my mother-in-law whose home is in the 70 DNL area. Would BTV be interested in purchasing her home when funding becomes available? If not, what options are open to her?	If a recommended measure, such as land acquisition, sound insulation, sales assistance or purchase assurance is approved and implemented, a program will be developed. Eligibility and participation requirements will be available to homeowners after the establishment of a program. Program representatives will contact all potentially eligible homeowners.
Robert	Herendeen	29	RH-1B	It is unfair to offer sound-proofing instead of mitigating or removing the F-35s. The program is expensive and forces people indoors.	Thank you for your comment.

PUBLIC COMMENTS RELATED TO NOISE MITIGATION

First Name	Last Name	Appendix Document Number	Comment ID	Paraphrased Comment	Response
Semir	Mahmutovic	54	SM-1	I live at 504 Brownell Rd Williston. The F-35s go over my house and scare my daughter; it wasn't like this with the old planes. How do we get the house sound proofed?	If a recommended measure, such as land acquisition, sound insulation, sales assistance or purchase assurance is approved and implemented, a program will be developed. Eligibility and participation requirements will be available to homeowners after the establishment of a program. Program representatives will contact all potentially eligible homeowners.
Cara	Montague	56	CMO-1A	I have great concern that the Noise Mitigation Program will not provide adequate protection to children, grown-ups or animals that live in the 65 dB zone and higher. The time frame for grant applications and awards leaves many hundreds of people vulnerable for years while they are waiting for work to be done.	Thank you for your comment.
Sheila	Quenneville	61	SQ-1	Concerned about noise impact on children. How to mitigate noise while outdoors?	There is currently no mitigation measures planned which would affect outdoor noise levels.
Peter	Schubart	69	PS-1A	The noise mitigation plan in place is totally insufficient with regard to the military jet noise. The noise will affect civilians by next year but the grant-based process will take many years to be fully-implemented.	Thank you for your comment.
Ian	Stokes	71	IS-1	I urge the City of Burlington and The Jones Payne Group to examine all aspects of noise compatibility at BTV, including the implications and impact of noise levels on people walking or traveling on bikes on roads near the runway.	This study evaluated the noise impact on residential properties and special use facilities (e.g. schools) surrounding the airport.

PUBLIC COMMENTS RELATED TO NOISE MITIGATION

First Name	Last Name	Appendix Document Number	Comment ID	Paraphrased Comment	Response
Joan	Swan	73	JS-2	Where do I find the eligibility requirements for the Sound Insulation program?	If the sound insulation measure is approved, funded and implemented, a program will be developed. Eligibility and participation requirements will be provided to homeowners after the establishment of a program. Program representatives will contact all potentially eligible homeowners.
Judith	Yarnall	77	JY-1B	I am also concerned with failure to implement stated; desired changes to better sound insulate homes.	Thank you for your comment.
Terry	Zigmund	78	TZ-1B	My home is excluded from the sound mitigation program based on its location but I will still be subjected to the noise from the military jets. Additionally, sound proofing my home does nothing to help me when I am outside.	The FAA does not provide funds for noise mitigation outside the 65 dB DNL contour. Sound insulation is only effective at mitigating aircraft noise when indoors.
N/A	N/A	80	CC-2	I'd like more information pertaining to timeline of selling my home & information on how the FMV is assessed & if the airport will work with realtors.	If a recommended measure, such as sound insulation, sales assistance or purchase assurance is approved and implemented, a program will be developed. Information on eligibility requirements and the participation process will be provided to homeowners after the establishment of a program. Program representatives will contact all potentially eligible homeowners.

PUBLIC COMMENTS RELATED TO NOISE MITIGATION

First Name	Last Name	Appendix Document Number	Comment ID	Paraphrased Comment	Response
N/A	N/A	81	CC-3	Please provide a sign-up for information/news to be sent via email. Include status updates, how to sign-up for sound mitigation, etc.	If a recommended measure, such as land acquisition, sound insulation, sales assistance or purchase assurance is approved and implemented, a program will be developed which will include homeowner outreach. Program representatives will contact all potentially eligible homeowners.
Judy	Galloway	Transcript	TR-2	Please provide a description of the noise mitigation plan. When will it begin? How will the programs work? How long will it take to complete? Can I sell my home? How do the grants work? Who will provide sales assistance and purchase assistance. Will I get the estimated property value of my home?	Upon approval of the NCP by the FAA, the City will apply for grant funds to begin noise mitigation program. The City will be developing a noise mitigation plan that outline the program's schedule, funding and priorities. This plan is estimated to be completed in the next 6 months. If a recommended measure, such as land acquisition, sound insulation, sales assistance or purchase assurance is approved and implemented, a program will be developed which will include homeowner outreach. Program representatives will contact all potentially eligible homeowners.
Bruce	Bevins	Transcript	TR-7	I hope the FAA and the Air Force will do right by all the neighbors around the airport. I think the cart got before the horse on this one since it will be many years of mitigation with the F-35's here.	Thank you for your comment.

PUBLIC COMMENTS RELATED TO NOISE MONITORING

First Name	Last Name	Appendix Document Number	Comment ID	Paraphrased Comment	Response
John	Ames	3	JA-1	<p>I understand that the FAA granted funds to Logan and Bradley Airports for noise monitoring, and that the 2008 NCP included such a recommendation but the airport did not apply for a grant. I strongly request that the airport immediately apply for FAA noise monitoring & tracking grants to measure F-35 noise on airport grounds and throughout the residential areas identified by the Air Force and the NCP.</p>	<p>Part 150 is a voluntary program. Implementation is based upon the availability of the Airport staff to oversee projects as well as having the ability to provide the 10% project cost needed to secure an FAA Airport Improvement Program grant. Given the limited resources of the Airport, not all projects could be funded. The current recommendation is to purchase an integrated flight track and noise monitoring system which will provide constant noise and flight tracking data that is correlated to each other. It should be noted that radar data for military aircraft is not publicly releasable. As such, any publicly accessible flight tracking system would omit flight path data for most military aircraft operations.</p>
Eileen	Andreoli	4	EA-1B	<p>We know that the FAA will provide grants for permanent noise monitoring systems. What is the Airport's responsibility to provide these systems? How can the Airport repeatedly qualify for FAA funds when it does not fulfill the recommendations approved by the FAA to provide clear information to prospective homebuyers about the noise impact zones?</p>	<p>Part 150 is a voluntary program. Implementation is based upon the availability of the Airport staff to oversee projects as well as having the ability to provide the 10% project cost needed to secure an FAA Airport Improvement Program grant. Given the limited resources of the Airport, not all projects could be funded. The current recommendation is to purchase an integrated flight track and noise monitoring system which will provide constant noise and flight tracking data that is correlated to each other. It should be noted that radar data for military aircraft is not publicly releasable. As such, any publicly accessible flight tracking system would omit flight path data for most military aircraft operations.</p>

PUBLIC COMMENTS RELATED TO NOISE MONITORING

First Name	Last Name	Appendix Document Number	Comment ID	Paraphrased Comment	Response
Doris	Bedinger	7	DB-2B	What aren't we learning from not having noise monitoring at BTV?	<p>Part 150 is a voluntary program. Implementation is based upon the availability of the Airport staff to oversee projects as well as having the ability to provide the 10% project cost needed to secure an FAA Airport Improvement Program grant. Given the limited resources of the Airport, not all projects could be funded. The current recommendation is to purchase an integrated flight track and noise monitoring system which will provide constant noise and flight tracking data that is correlated to each other. It should be noted that radar data for military aircraft is not publicly releasable. As such, any publicly accessible flight tracking system would omit flight path data for most military aircraft operations.</p>
Jennifer	Decker	19	JD-1C	<p>Has the City of Burlington been negligent in not following Health Department recommendations around noise monitoring? Who is responsible for the negligence? When will sound monitoring be implemented? How can residents at various locations be assured of the accuracy of sound monitoring?</p>	<p>Part 150 is a voluntary program. Implementation is based upon the availability of the Airport staff to oversee projects as well as having the ability to provide the 10% project cost needed to secure an FAA Airport Improvement Program grant. Given the limited resources of the Airport, not all projects could be funded. The current recommendation is to purchase an integrated flight track and noise monitoring system which will provide constant noise and flight tracking data that is correlated to each other. It should be noted that radar data for military aircraft is not publicly releasable. As such, any publicly accessible flight tracking system would omit flight path data for most military aircraft operations.</p>

PUBLIC COMMENTS RELATED TO NOISE MONITORING

First Name	Last Name	Appendix Document Number	Comment ID	Paraphrased Comment	Response
Kai	Mikkel Forlie	24	KF-1	I urge you and your staff to immediately apply for FAA noise monitoring and tracking grants in order to measure F-35 noise on airport grounds and throughout the residential areas identified by the Air Force and the Noise Compatibility Program.	Part 150 is a voluntary program. Implementation is based upon the availability of the Airport staff to oversee projects as well as having the ability to provide the 10% project cost needed to secure an FAA Airport Improvement Program grant. Given the limited resources of the Airport, not all projects could be funded. The current recommendation is to purchase an integrated flight track and noise monitoring system which will provide constant noise and flight tracking data that is correlated to each other. It should be noted that radar data for military aircraft is not publicly releasable. As such, any publicly accessible flight tracking system would omit flight path data for most military aircraft operations.
Kai	Mikkel Forlie	25	KF-2	The 2019 NCP does not do enough to protect residents. Low-income neighborhoods are threatened with unsafe noise levels and declining property values. The possible hearing loss needs to be analyzed.	Health, economic and safety effects were not evaluated as part of this study. Please refer to the USAF F-35A Operational Basing EIS (https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/799815-f-35-final-eis-volume-1.html) for available information on health, economic and safety effects.

PUBLIC COMMENTS RELATED TO NOISE MONITORING

First Name	Last Name	Appendix Document Number	Comment ID	Paraphrased Comment	Response
James	Leas	41	JL-1	<p>Why did the Airport not apply for an FAA grant when it was recommended in the 2008 NCP? Will the airport director commit to applying for the available FAA grant for monitoring and tracking the F-35 noise?</p>	<p>Part 150 is a voluntary program. Implementation is based upon the availability of the Airport staff to oversee projects as well as having the ability to provide the 10% project cost needed to secure an FAA Airport Improvement Program grant. Given the limited resources of the Airport, not all projects could be funded. The current recommendation is to purchase an integrated flight track and noise monitoring system which will provide constant noise and flight tracking data that is correlated to each other. It should be noted that radar data for military aircraft is not publicly releasable. As such, any publicly accessible flight tracking system would omit flight path data for most military aircraft operations.</p>
Cara	Montague	56	CMO-1C	<p>I would also like there to be continued on-site monitoring of the sound levels of the planes, especially at the Chamberlin School in South Burlington and the Winooski School in Winooski.</p>	<p>Part 150 is a voluntary program. Implementation is based upon the availability of the Airport staff to oversee projects as well as having the ability to provide the 10% project cost needed to secure an FAA Airport Improvement Program grant. Given the limited resources of the Airport, not all projects could be funded. The current recommendation is to purchase an integrated flight track and noise monitoring system which will provide constant noise and flight tracking data that is correlated to each other. It should be noted that radar data for military aircraft is not publicly releasable. As such, any publicly accessible flight tracking system would omit flight path data for most military aircraft operations.</p>

PUBLIC COMMENTS RELATED TO NOISE MONITORING

First Name	Last Name	Appendix Document Number	Comment ID	Paraphrased Comment	Response
Karl	Novak	58	KN-1A	2019 Draft NCP omits the Technical Advisory Committee's recommendation to purchase a noise monitoring system.	<p>Part 150 is a voluntary program. Implementation is based upon the availability of the Airport staff to oversee projects as well as having the ability to provide the 10% project cost needed to secure an FAA Airport Improvement Program grant. Given the limited resources of the Airport, not all projects could be funded. The current recommendation is to purchase an integrated flight track and noise monitoring system which will provide constant noise and flight tracking data that is correlated to each other. It should be noted that radar data for military aircraft is not publicly releasable. As such, any publicly accessible flight tracking system would omit flight path data for most military aircraft operations.</p>
Joanna	Rankin	62	JR-1	I demand that the City of Burlington take immediate steps to install a noise monitoring system, and that this installation not wait for FAA funding.	<p>Part 150 is a voluntary program. Implementation is based upon the availability of the Airport staff to oversee projects as well as having the ability to provide the 10% project cost needed to secure an FAA Airport Improvement Program grant. Given the limited resources of the Airport, not all projects could be funded. The current recommendation is to purchase an integrated flight track and noise monitoring system which will provide constant noise and flight tracking data that is correlated to each other. It should be noted that radar data for military aircraft is not publicly releasable. As such, any publicly accessible flight tracking system would omit flight path data for most military aircraft operations.</p>

PUBLIC COMMENTS RELATED TO NOISE MONITORING

First Name	Last Name	Appendix Document Number	Comment ID	Paraphrased Comment	Response
Joanna	Rankin	63	JR-2C	Noise monitoring is the only means by which we can know the full health effects of the F-35. It is relatively cheap and was recommended both in earlier draft NCPs and the 2012 VT Dept of Health Study. No action has been taken despite potential FAA grants.	Part 150 is a voluntary program. Implementation is based upon the availability of the Airport staff to oversee projects as well as having the ability to provide the 10% project cost needed to secure an FAA Airport Improvement Program grant. Given the limited resources of the Airport, not all projects could be funded. The current recommendation is to purchase an integrated flight track and noise monitoring system which will provide constant noise and flight tracking data that is correlated to each other. It should be noted that radar data for military aircraft is not publicly releasable. As such, any publicly accessible flight tracking system would omit flight path data for most military aircraft operations.
Marguerite	Adelman	Transcript	TR-8	I would like the airport to request some noise monitoring as soon as possible	Part 150 is a voluntary program. Implementation is based upon the availability of the Airport staff to oversee projects as well as having the ability to provide the 10% project cost needed to secure an FAA Airport Improvement Program grant. Given the limited resources of the Airport, not all projects could be funded. The current recommendation is to purchase an integrated flight track and noise monitoring system which will provide constant noise and flight tracking data that is correlated to each other. It should be noted that radar data for military aircraft is not publicly releasable. As such, any publicly accessible flight tracking system would omit flight path data for most military aircraft operations.

PUBLIC COMMENTS RELATED TO OTHER TOPICS

First Name	Last Name	Appendix Document Number	Comment ID	Paraphrased Comment	Response
Eileen	Andreoli	4	EA-1A	The Airport has refused to develop a real estate noise disclosure form. It is a conflict of interest for the Airport and Airport Director not to warn people prior to purchasing homes. What liabilities does the Airport have in this matter, and what is the process to hold the Airport accountable for decades of fraudulent home purchases?	The Northwest Vermont Board of Realtors encourages its realtors to execute an Airport Noise Disclosure for the Burlington, Vermont International Airport for sales near Burlington International Airport.
Doris	Bedinger	7	DB-2A	Public comments are happening during cold weather, with only 2 planes at the airport, before people have had first hand experience of the afterburner. This is suspicious & negligent.	The Airport has committed with the Vermont National Guard to conduct a joint NEM update one year after the operation of the F-35 (estimated 2021).
Mary	Fillmore	21	MF-1B	The current NCP has only accomplished one of its 15 goals - how can citizens be confident this plan will have better results?	The Airport has conducted the Part 150 Update as part of its commitment to address noise impacts on the surrounding community. While the Airport's previous mitigation measures focused primarily on land acquisition due to limited funding, the Airport is committed to implement additional recommended measures such as sound insulation. All projects will be dependent upon availability of FAA grant funding and the Airport's 10% contribution.
Jane	Hendley	28	JH-1C	There is not enough oversight of the military because of the money involved.	Thank you for your comment.
Peter	Schubart	69	PS-1C	The City of Burlington is violating the rights of the its citizens by supporting the F-35s.	Thank you for your comment.
Mr.	Forlie	Transcript	TR-9	I think our elected officials should represent all of us. I am concerned about the level of noise when all the F-35s are here.	Thank you for your comment.

PUBLIC COMMENTS RELATED TO NOISE MONITORING

First Name	Last Name	Appendix Document Number	Comment ID	Paraphrased Comment	Response
Joan	Swan	72	JS-1	I own property inside the 2023 70 db DNL zone. Please send me notifications of all future public meetings & presentations. And information on how to submit application to the various voluntary programs, ie, Sound Insulation.	If a recommended measure, such as land acquisition, sound insulation, sales assistance or purchase assurance is approved and implemented, a program will be developed which will include homeowner outreach. Program representatives will contact all potentially eligible homeowners.
Judy	Galloway	Transcript	TR-5	When wil the memorandums of understanding with the various cities be done? Where and when can I see these MOUs?	The City of Burlington is working with City of South Burlington and the City of Winooski to develop a memorandum of understanding.

PUBLIC COMMENTS RELATED TONOISE MITIGATION FOR SCHOOLS

First Name	Last Name	Appendix Document Number	Comment ID	Paraphrased Comment	Response
Nancy	Hellen	27	NH-1A	Children who are deregulated by loud noise are definitely affected and take time to get back to a baseline. The learning of all children needs to be at the forefront of the F-35 debate, with focus on noise intrusion and long term consequences.	Health effects were not evaluated as part of this study. Please refer to the USAF F-35A Operational Basing EIS (https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/799815-f-35-final-eis-volume-1.html) for available information on health effects.
Nancy	Hellen	27	NH-1B	The communities most impacted are mostly marginalized communities. The government, including our legislators, is overlooking the inequities of basing the f-35s in Burlington. Vermont needs to support a healthy environment for all families and schools.	Economic impacts were not evaluated as part of this study.
Judy	Galloway	Transcript	TR-3	Will my taxes go up if my schools need more soundproofing?	The City is working with the local towns to determine who will provide the grant matching funds for the sound insulation of schools.

PUBLIC COMMENTS RELATED TO GRANT FUNDING

First Name	Last Name	Appendix Document Number	Comment ID	Paraphrased Comment	Response
Zack	Flaherty	22	ZF-1	Do municipalities have to pay 10% to match grants?	The Airport, owned by the City of Burlington is required to provide 10% of each FAA's Airport Improvement Program grant. Other municipalities are not required to provide funding.
Robin	Lloyd	51	RL-1	To resolve the question about the impact of F35 noise upon takeoff and landing, I request that the airport immediately apply for FAA noise monitoring and tracking grants to measure F-35 noise on airport grounds and throughout the residential areas identified by the Air Force and the Noise Compatibility Program.	The use of an operations and flight tracking system is included as a recommended measure of the NCP. Additionally, the Airport has committed with the Vermont National Guard to conduct a joint NEM update one year after the operation of the F-35 (estimated 2021).
Tony	Redington	65	TR-1	I strongly request that the airport immediately apply for FAA noise monitoring and tracking grants to measure F-35 noise on airport grounds and throughout the residential areas identified by the Air Force and the Noise Compatibility Program.	The use of an operations and flight tracking system is included as a recommended measure of the NCP. Additionally, the Airport has committed with the Vermont National Guard to conduct a joint NEM update one year after the operation of the F-35 (estimated 2021).
Judy	Galloway	Transcript	TR-4	Does Burlington need to apply for a grant every year? Is the funding dependent upon Congress?	Yes, the Airport applies for grants on an annual basis. Fund is contingent upon Congress authorizing the Department of Transportation budget.